

ISSUE PANEL PROPOSAL EXAMPLE

Title (in title case; 225	Defining and Operationalizing No-Value Care
characters max)	
Moderator	Moderator: A. Mark Fendrick, MD, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor,
(must have 1 moderator; please	MI, USA
include name, degree(s),	
institution, city, state, country)	
Speakers (must have minimum	Panelists: Beth Beaudin-Seiler, PhD, Center for Value in Health Care,
2, maximum 3 speakers from	Altarum, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; Peter I. Neumann , ScD, Center for the
different organizations; please	Evaluation of Value and Risk in Health, Institute for Clinical Research
include name, degree(s),	and Health Policy Studies, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA
institution, city, state, country)	
Issue Panel Issue & Overview	ISSUE: Addressing inefficient spending – such as the pervasive delivery
(302 word; 2050 characters	of low-value and potentially harmful care – is critically important for a
limit including headers)	resilient and prepared health system. However, many current low-value
	care definitions identify low-value care within the healthcare system by
ISSUE: Provide a clear	operationalizing what is considered low-value through situational
definition of the issue to be	context. This results in a level of subjectivity where emphasizing
presented and debated.	different elements of the definition can impact the determination of
	low-value. A potential solution is for policymakers to first address no-
OVERVIEW: Provide	value care. A no-value care definition could serve as the first step to
background information and	help limit inefficient spending, prevent harmful care delivery, and create
details on the material to be	a more resilient value-driven healthcare system. This session will
presented. Include speaker	discuss the need for a more concrete definition of "no-value care",
presentation length, e.g., 15	what types of services would fall into this category, how often these
minutes, and state the allotted	services appear as having no or little cost effectiveness evidence and
time to address the audience	the financial implications of adopting a more concrete, no-value care
discussion and debate	definition.
criterion. It is helpful to include	
which stakeholders will benefit	OVERVIEW: Overview provided by Mark Fendrick approximately 15
from attending.	minutes. Low-value care has been the topic of discussion for decades
	in the United States. In 2012, the discussion became more focused with
	the introduction of the Choosing Wisely campaign whereby specialty
	society collaborators identified medical tests and services that could
	be deemed unnecessary to help spur conversation about what is
	appropriate and necessary treatment. Since then, several studies have
	examined how best to define and measure low-value care. These
	definitions, however, are often unactionable as most definitions are not
	concrete. This session will discuss the need for a more concrete
	definition of "no-value care", what types of services would fall into this
	category, how often these services appear as having no or little cost
	effectiveness evidence and the financial implications of adopting a
	more concrete, no-value care definition.