



Exploring the Multifaceted Roles of Patients and Caregivers in Health Preference Research (HPR) and Patient-Reported Outcomes (PRO) Research

Monday May 8, 2023 15.15PM – 16.15PM



Discussion Topics

	Topic	Presenters
1	The Multifaceted Roles of Patients and Caregivers in HPR and PRO research	Siu Hing Lo, PhD Acaster Lloyd Consulting
2	Preference Research: Case Studies Utilizing a Community Engaged Process	Holly Peay, PhD RTI International
3	Inclusion of Patients and Caregivers as Research Partners: An Example	Fraser Bocell, MEd, PhD US FDA
4	Patient Advocacy Groups Advancing the Science of Patient Input	Ryan Fischer, BA Foundation for Angelman Syndrome Therapeutics (FAST)



Antitrust Compliance Statement

- ISPOR has a policy of strict compliance with both United States, and other applicable international antitrust laws and regulations.
- Antitrust laws prohibit competitors from engaging in actions that could result in an unreasonable restraint of trade.
- ISPOR members (and others attending ISPOR meetings and/or events) must avoid discussing certain topics when they are together including, prices, fees, rates, profit margins, or other terms or conditions of sale.
- Members (and others attending ISPOR meetings and/or events) have an obligation to terminate any discussion, seek legal counsel's advice, or, if necessary, terminate any meeting if the discussion might be construed to raise antitrust risks.
- The Antitrust policy is available on the ISPOR website.



Authors' Disclosures

Siu Hing Lo is an employee of Acaster Lloyd Consulting Ltd, United Kingdom.

Holly Peay is an employee of RTI International.

Fraser Bocell is an employee at the Center for Devices and Radiological Health at U.S. FDA.

Ryan Fischer, Foundation for Angelman Syndrome Therapeutics.

Mr. Fischer has no relevant financial or nonfinancial relationships to disclose.

1

The Multifaceted Roles of Patients and Caregivers in Health Preference Research (HPR) and Patient-Reported Outcomes (PRO) Research



Patients as Research Participants and Partners

RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS

In HPR and PRO research, participants are the data source. Specifically, they are the source of patient experience data (PED):

"...intended to provide *information* about *patients' experiences with a disease or condition*...that captures patients' experiences, perspectives, needs, and priorities..."

Excerpt from FDA definition of PED

RESEARCH PARTNERS

Research partners are not subjects or data sources, but agents in the research process:

"The active, meaningful, and collaborative interaction between patients and researchers across all stages of the research process, where research decision making is guided by patients' contributions as partners, recognizing their specific experiences, values, and expertise..."

Definition of patient engagement in research from Harrington et al. 2020, VIH: Report of ISPOR Patient-Centered SIG

'Patient' to refer to people with lived experience, including patients as well as their family and caregivers



Polling Question #1

What do you believe are the biggest challenges in engaging patients in research?

If you are unsure, please respond 'don't know'



Range of Patient Roles in HPR and PRO Research as Participants and Partners

RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS

Quantitative survey

Primarily closed multiple choice questions

Cognitive debriefing (CD)

Open and some closed interview questions to test understanding, relevance and acceptability of study materials Concept elicitation (CE)

Explorative open interview questions

Reviewer

Provide feedback on study

Co-creation

Collaborating to create the study

Leadership

Initiating, leading and/or guiding the study

RESEARCH PARTNERS

PESEARCH DARTNERS



Patient Roles By Research Stages

RESEARCH DARTICIDANTS

	RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS	RESEARCH PARTNERS
Study objective	Not applicable	Patient relevance of objectives
Study design	PED to inform study design	Patient relevance, acceptability and understanding of study materials used to collect PED
Data collection	PED for patient-centred development of medicines and other health technologies	Patient friendliness of study recruitment and data collection procedures
Results interpretation and dissemination	Not applicable	Patient relevance and understanding of results and dissemination



Patient Input in PRO Development and HPR

	PRO DEVELOPMENT	PATIENT HPR	
Study objective	What aspect(s) of patient experience need to be measured?	What context of choice, and (treatment) choices are patient-relevant?	
Study design	What matters to patients? Concepts of Interest	What is relevant to patients within this context of choice? - Attribute selection - Framing of choice scenario	
	Are study materials acceptable and understandable to patients?		
Data collection	Are data collection procedures patient-friendly? Maximise participation rates and data quality, and minimise participant burden		
Results interpretation & dissemination	What is the relevance of the results to patients? Is dissemination intended for the patient community meaningful to patients?		



Patient Engagement By Study, Researcher and Patient Characteristics

Factors shaping the Success factors 'how' of engagement **PATIENTS STUDY RESEARCHERS** Ability to manage a Ability to advise on Flexibility in research study with and experience patient relevance, **Study objective** collaborate with patient project direction acceptability and nce partners understanding and budget collaborate with experie Availability of prior Ability to collaborate and researchers, with relevant PED/literature provide training where Study design technical support where needed in technical Technical design and needed considerations design aspects nd **Time** Ability to advise on data Time, budgetary and Ability to collaborate with Skill Data collection collection practicalities in logistical considerations recruitment partners the target patient group Ability to collaborate with Ability to collaborate with Results Technical analysis researchers on partners and provide interpretation of data training if needed in dissemination & dissemination technical interpretation meaningful to patients

ISPOR, the professional society for health economics and outcomes research (HEOR), is an international, multistakeholder, nonprofit dedicated to advancing HEOR excellence to improve decision making for health globally. The Society is the leading source for scientific conferences, peer-reviewed and MEDLINE-indexed publications, good

peer-reviewed and MEDLINE-indexed publications, good practices guidance, education, collaboration, and tools/resources in the field.

ISPOR's community of more than 20,000 individual and chapter members from 120+ countries includes a wide variety of healthcare stakeholders, including researchers, academicians, regulators and assessors, public and private payers, healthcare providers, industry, and patient representatives. The Society's leadership has served as an unbiased resource and catalyst for innovation in the field for more than 20 years.

www.ispor.org

