Intensification With Insulin Glargine 300 U/mL (Gla-300) or First-Generation Basal Insulins (Bls) in People With Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) on Once-Weekly Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Receptor Agonists (GLP-1 RAs): **DELIVER New-G**

Guillermo Umpierrez¹, Timothy Bailey², Aymeric Mahieu³, Xuan Li⁴, Maria Aileen Mabunay⁵, Vivian Fonseca⁶ ¹Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA; ²AMCR Institute, Escondido, CA, USA; ³Sanofi, Bridgewater, NJ, USA; ⁵Sanofi, Singapore; ⁶Tulane University Medical Center, New Orleans, LA, USA

INTRODUCTION

- In people with T2D, GLP-1 RA treatment can lower HbA1c, alongside having a low risk of hypoglycemia¹; however, some patients require intensification with BI to achieve glycemic targets^{1,2,3}
- Insulin glargine 300 U/mL (Gla-300), a second-generation BI analogue, provides similar efficacy with lower rates of hypoglycemia than first-generation BIs⁴

OBJECTIVE

To compare effectiveness of intensification with Gla-300 or first-generation Bls on glycemic outcomes, healthcare resource utilization (HCRU), and costs in adults with T2D receiving GLP-1 RA with or without oral antihyperglycemic drugs (OADs)

METHODS

- Retrospective, observational study using the US Optum Clinformatics[®] Data Mart Claims database (4/1/2015–12/30/2021)
- Insulin-naïve adults with T2D receiving once-weekly GLP-1 RA therapy who intensified treatment (index date) with Gla-300 or a first-generation BI (Neutral Protamine Hagedorn [NPH], detemir, insulin glargine 100 U/mL), were propensity score matched, 1:2, by baseline demographics and clinical characteristics
- Primary endpoint was noninferiority of HbA1c reduction from baseline to 6 months between treatments. Secondary endpoints including hypoglycemia, achievement of HbA1c <7 % and HbA1c <7 % without hypoglycemia, HCRU, and costs, were analyzed descriptively

RESULTS

- After matching, 605 people intensified with Gla-300 and 1210 with first-generation Bls; in both groups ~60% were dulaglutide users (**Table 1**). Mean time to intensification with insulin was <1 year after GLP-1 RA initiation
- Mean HbA1c at baseline was 9.06 % for Gla-300 and 9.17 % for first-generation BI. Mean HbA1c reduction from baseline was -0.96 % for Gla-300 versus -1.02 % for first-generation Bls (**Figure 1**)
- Noninferiority was demonstrated at a significance level of 0.025 (noninferiority p-value=0.0003; upper 95% confidence interval [CI] <0.4 so the null hypothesis of the paired one-sided t-test with margin of 0.4 was rejected)
- Similar glycemic outcomes were seen for the subgroup with HbA1c ≥9 % at baseline (**Table 2**)



Age, mean Gender, n (% Weekly GLP Dulaglutide Semaglutid Exenatide Time to inter mean (SD), d Type of first-Gla-100

Insulin dete NPH Use of ≥1 ar the baseline

> Metformin Sulfonylurea DPP-4i

SGLT-2 inhi Thiazolidine

Alpha-gluco Meglitinides HbA1c, mea

Healthcare u ≥1 hospitali ≥1 emerger

^aCohorts were matched using a greedy nearest neighbor matching algorithm, with a caliper and without replacement. The baseline period covered the 6 months prior to the index date BI, basal insulin; DPP-4i, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; Gla-100, insulin glargine 100 U/mL; Gla-300, insulin glargine 300 U/mL; GLP-1 RA, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist; NPH, Neutral Protamine Hagedorn; SD, standard deviation; SGLT-2, sodium glucose co-transporter-2.

Table 2: Secondary endpoints

HbA1c ≥9 % HbA1c at ba HbA1c at M Change in I Mean of diff Hypoglycem Number of pe Total number Total person Event rate, po Rate ratio **Target achie** HbA1c <7 % Odds ratio HbA1c <7 %

Odds ratio

^aEvent rate ratio (95% CI) from Poisson regression. ^bOdds ratio (95% CI) from logistic regression. BI, basal insulin; CI, confidence interval; Gla-300, insulin glargine 300 U/mL; SD, standard deviation.

POSTER HIGHLIGHT: In this US real-world study of people with T2D receiving weekly GLP-1 RA therapy with or without OADs, intensification with Gla-300 was associated with similar HbA1c reduction and low hypoglycemia rates, and lower HCRU versus first-generation BIs

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of people intensifying with Gla-300 or first-generation Bl^a

	Intensified	Intensified with
	(N=605)	(N=1210)
SD), years	63.2 (10.7)	63.4 (10.9)
%), male	318 (52.6)	578 (47.8)
P-1 RA use , n (%)		
, ,	353 (58.3)	732 (60.5)
e injectable	152 (25.1)	304 (25.1)
_A	135 (22.3)	255 (21.1)
nsification with insulin,		
days	295.8 (184.3)	279.1 (178.7)
-generation BI at index date, n (%)		
	-	959 (79.3)
emir	-	193 (16.0)
	-	58 (4.8)
ntihyperglycemic medication during		
e period, n (%) ^b	513 (84.8)	1021 (84.4)
	383 (63.3)	766 (63.3)
as	213 (35.2)	415 (34.3)
	102 (16.9)	223 (18.4)
ibitor	192 (31.7)	367 (30.3)
ediones	68 (11.2)	109 (9.0)
osidase inhibitors	7 (1.2)	8 (0.7)
S	11 (1.8)	18 (1.5)
n (SD), %	9.06 (1.93)	9.17 (1.88)
utilization during the baseline period, n (%) ^b		
ization	66 (10.9)	117 (9.7)
ncy room visit	111 (18.3)	242 (20.0)

	Intensified with Gla-300 (N=605)	Intensified with first-generation BI (N=1210)
subgroup analysis		
aseline, mean (SD)	10.73 (1.40)	10.69 (1.40)
lonth 6, mean (SD)	8.67 (1.79)	8.84 (1.83)
HbA1c from baseline to Month 6	-2.05 (2.04)	-1.85 (2.22)
ferences (one-sided 97.5% CI)	-0.2029 (-inf, 0.1499)	
nia outcomes		
eople with ≥1 event, n (%)	11 (1.82)	22 (1.82)
of events, n	19	73
years	295.01	591.16
er 100 person years	6.4	12.3
95% CI) ^a	0.50 (0.19, 1.29)	
vement		
achievement, n (%)	152 (25.1)	285 (23.6)
(95% CI) ^b	1.09 (0.87, 1.37)	
achievement without hypoglycemia, n (%)	148 (24.5)	277 (22.9)
(95% CI) ^b	1.09 (0.87, 1.37)	

(SD)

Ŭ



Figure 1: Change from baseline in HbA1c at Month 6

^aMean of differences Gla-300 versus first-generation BIs (one-sided 97.5% CI): 0.0637 (-inf, 0.2543), noninferiority p-value=0.0003. BI, basal insulin; CI, confidence interval; Gla-300, insulin glargine 300 U/mL; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 2: Diabetes-related healthcare costs



BI, basal insulin; ER, emergency room; Gla-300, insulin glargine 300 U/mL; PPPY, per person per year.



E-poster

ISPOR 2024 May 5–8 Atlanta, GA, USA

RESULTS (continued)

- Hypoglycemia event rates/100 person-years (PY) were 6.4 versus 12.3 (rate ratio 0.50) with Gla-300 and first-generation Bls, respectively (Table 2)
- Similar proportions of Gla-300 and first-generation BI users achieved HbA1c <7 % (25.1% and 23.6%) and HbA1c <7 % without hypoglycemia (24.5% and 22.9%; **Table 2**)
- Diabetes-related event rates/100 PY were lower with Gla-300 than first-generation Bls:
- Hospitalizations/100 PY: Gla-300, 22.7 versus first-generation Bls, 27.6, rate ratio (95% CI) 0.82 (0.61, 1.09)
- Emergency room visits/100 PY: Gla-300, 11.2 versus first-generation Bls, 13.4, rate ratio (95% CI) 0.89 (0.59, 1.34)
- Diabetes-related annual costs per person were lower with Gla-300 versus first-generation Bls by \$1,272.35 for hospitalization and \$2,074.83 for total healthcare claims costs (**Figure 2**)

CONCLUSIONS

- Similar HbA1c reductions, target achievement, and low hypoglycemia incidence were achieved for both Gla-300 and first-generation Bls when used for intensification after **GLP-1 RA therapy**
- Diabetes-related health costs were lower with Gla-300 versus first-generation Bls
- These results are consistent with data from the DELIVER series of studies that glycemic control with a low risk of hypoglycemia is seen on intensification with Gla-300 results in real-world clinical practice^{5,6}

REFERENCES

- 1. ADA-Guidelines. Diabetes Care. 2023; 46(Suppl 1): S97-s110.
- 2. Peng XV, et al. Diabetes Ther. 2020; 11(11): 2629–2645.
- 3. Candido R, et al. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2023(33): 2294–2305.
- 4. Ritzel R, et al. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2015; 17(9): 859-867.
- 5. Blonde L, et al. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2021; 23(8): 1713–1721.
- 6. Bailey TS, et al. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2022; 24(8): 1617–1622.

DISCLOSURES

G Umpierrez – Grant support from Dexcom, Abbott, and Bayer. Advisory consultant: Dexcom and Glycare.

T Bailey – Research Support: Abbott Diabetes, Abbott Rapid Diagnostics, Bioling, Dexcom, Eli Lilly, Kowa, LifePlus, Medtronic, Novo Nordisk, and Sanofi, Senseonics; consulting honoraria: Abbott Diabetes, Abbott Rapid Diagnostics, CeQur, Lifescan, Mannkind, Medtronic, Novo, and Sanofi A Mahieu, X Li, and MA Mabunay – Employees of Sanofi, may hold stocks/shares in Sanofi.

V Fonseca – Research support (to institution): grants: Fractyl, Jaguar Gene Therapy; honoraria for consulting and lectures: Asahi, Bayer, Abbott, Boehringer Ingelheim, Corcept, Eli Lilly, and Abbvie; stock options: Mellitus Health and BRAVO4Health; stock: Amgen and Abbott; patents pending: 1. BRAVO risk engine for predicting diabetes complications, 2. PAX4 gene therapy for type 1 diabetes.

FUNDING

Study funded by Sanofi. The authors thank Sirisha Pedapudi, (Sanofi) for coordinating the development, facilitating author discussions and critical review of the poster. Editorial/writing support was provided by Louise Gildea, PhD, of Fishawack Communications Ltd, part of Avalere Health, funded by Sanofi.