

Tumor-Agnostic Therapies and HTA: *Not Out of the Woods Yet*

Dan Ollendorf, PhD

Chief Scientific Officer, Director of HTA Methods and Engagement

May 8, 2024



INSTITUTE FOR CLINICAL
AND ECONOMIC REVIEW

Disclosures

- Employee of the Institute for Clinical and Economic Review, a private, US-based HTA organization

Tumor-Agnostic Therapies and HTA

What is HTA Already Dealing With?

- Cancer therapies increasingly approved by regulators on an expedited basis
 - Based on tumor or other response criteria
 - Small sample sizes
 - Uncontrolled studies
 - Short-term follow-up with immature data on material outcomes (e.g., PFS, OS)

The Promise of Tumor-Agnostic Therapies

- Responsiveness of therapy in presence of (usually genetic) biomarker across *many* cancers
- Potential for use of companion testing to identify patients most likely to respond
- A realization of personalized medicine

What Complexities do Tumor-Agnostic Therapies Add?

- Challenges in both study-design and real-world application:
 - Basket trials can have just a few patients for each type of cancer
 - Predictive value of testing may be unknown at time of approval
 - Prevalence across cancer types may differ between trial and real world
 - Problematic to identify external control data due to unknown biomarker status
 - Heterogeneity of treatment effects and current standard of care across tumor types
 - Integrating testing costs may involve “apportionment” issue

The HTA Response to Date

How has HTA Responded?



- NICE: via Cancer Drugs Fund
- HAS: restricted to cancers with more compelling evidence
- CADTH: initial rejection, approval with restrictions upon resubmission

Some Promising Ideas


A Framework for HTA Solutions

[Home](#) > [Applied Health Economics and Health Policy](#) > [Article](#)

Developing a Framework for the Health Technology Assessment of Histology-independent Precision Oncology Therapies

Current Opinion | Published: 24 May 2021

Volume 19, pages 625–634, (2021) [Cite this article](#)

[Jennifer G. Gaultney](#) , [Jacoline C. Bouvy](#), [Richard H. Chapman](#), [Alexander J. Upton](#), [Stacey Kowal](#),
[Carsten Bokemeyer](#), [Oriol Solà-Morales](#), [Jürgen Wolf](#) & [Andrew H. Briggs](#)

Working Toward Solutions

- *Joint regulatory/HTA scientific advice*
- Move toward genetic/molecular testing in population health data to better inform creation of external controls
- Multi-cancer meta-analyses and machine learning on correlation between response surrogates and patient-centric outcomes
- Scheduled reassessment
- Bayesian, VOI, and other advanced modeling techniques to better characterize uncertainty
- *Outcomes-based contracts with data collection for (a) confirmation of benefit; (b) mitigation of financial risk; (c) identification of predictive test result cutoffs, etc.*

Summary

Conclusions

- Challenges that pre-exist for HTA with cancer treatment are exacerbated by the advent of tumor-agnostic therapies
- Adjustments are required to shift the HTA paradigm to match the promise of treatment
- Changes are likely required in:
 - Stakeholder engagement
 - Baseline data collection
 - Analytic methods
 - Use of post-decision monitoring

Thank you!

dollendorf@icer.org

Questions?