The Relative Value of Anti-Obesity Medications Compared to Similar Therapies

Nina Kim¹, Joaquin Estrada², Isabella Chow², Aleksandrina Ruseva¹, Abhilasha Ramasamy¹, Chakkarin Burudpakdee¹, Christopher Blanchette¹

Obesity represents the highest economic burden

Background and Aims

- Access/coverage for anti-obesity medications (AOMs) is limited compared to treatments for other similar chronic diseases, despite high disease burden associated with obesity.
- We aimed to assess the relative value of AOMs through analysis and comparison of cost-benefit and/or clinical benefit for covered medications across selected therapeutic areas.

Methods

- An overview of the methodology is described in **Table 1**.
 - The study was conducted in three different phases (1) a grey literature review to identify therapeutic areas similar to obesity and potential analogues, (2) selection and prioritization of therapeutic areas for the targeted literature review (TLR), (3) a TLR on the selected therapeutic areas to identify literature for inclusion in the analysis.
- The TLR was performed to identify clinical and economic outcomes for the selected therapeutic areas.
- Parameters extracted and evaluated included direct and indirect medical costs, cost drivers, and associated comorbidities.

Table 1: Therapeutic area analogue identification flow chart



Affiliations: ¹Novo Nordisk, Inc., Plainsboro, NJ, USA; ²IQVIA, Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA

This study was sponsored by Novo Nordisk, Inc,. The authors acknowledge the medical writing assistance of Elizabeth Tanner and Rebecca Hahn of KJT Group, Inc. (Rochester, NY, USA).

Key results

- Four therapeutic area comparators and their reimbursement history, type of therapies).
- 89 publications.
- outpatient, inpatient, and emergency room costs.
- comparators (migraine=9, smoking=8, daytime sleepiness=5, fibromyalgia=2) (**Table 2**).
- cancer.
- To understand the annual productivity loss due to annual workday loss of >520 days for an average employer, for an annual productivity loss of

Presented at ISPOR 2022 conference, May 15-18, 2022. Disclosures: NK, AR, AR, CB, and CB, were employees and/or shareholders of Novo Nordisk, Inc. at the time research was conducted. JE and IC are employees of IQVIA, Inc., which received funding to conduct the research study on which the poster is based.

Annual Economic Burden in the US, \$ billion (2020 USD)



pharmacotherapy analogues were identified (smoking cessation, varenicline; daytime sleepiness, modafinil; migraine, erenumab; and fibromyalgia, pregabalin) selected based on similarity to obesity and AOMs across important parameters of value (e.g., US prevalence,

The TLR identified 2,956 papers. Results were screened for relevant data for extraction and analysis resulting in

Obesity and smoking represented the highest economic burden with \$676 and \$345 billion in yearly direct and indirect medical costs, respectively (**Result Banner**).

Weight loss resulted in a reduction of \$2,586 in direct medical costs per patient per year (PPPY), which is higher than the cost reduction associated with varenicline (\$930 PPPY), modafinil (\$1,045 PPPY), or erenumab (\$468 PPPY); pregabalin utilization showed an increase of \$924 PPPY (**Figure 1**). Main drivers of cost savings were reductions in

Obesity was associated with more comorbidities (17) than

Smoking and obesity were associated with the costliest comorbidities of cardiovascular disease, stroke, and

disease, we multiplied annual average absenteeism per person by estimated prevalence in an average mid-size company for each condition. The annual workday loss was highest for obesity and daytime sleepiness, with an approximately \$130,000 for each condition (Table 3).

Figure 1: Real-world annual medical cost reduction by treatment area



AOMs, anti-obesity medications; ER, emergency room

Table 2: Most common comorbidities and financial impact for each condition

	Obesity	Smoking	Excessive Sleepiness	Migraine			
Cardiovascular Disease	\$\$\$	\$\$\$					
Cancer	\$\$\$	\$\$\$					
Stroke	\$\$\$	\$\$\$		\$\$\$			
Pulmonary embolism	\$\$\$	\$\$\$					
Kidney failure	ire \$\$						
Sleep apnea	\$\$	\$\$	\$\$				
Coronary artery disease	\$\$						
Respiratory	\$\$	\$\$	\$\$				
Osteoarthritis	\$\$			\$\$			
Pain	\$\$		\$\$	\$\$			
Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)	\$						
Dyslipidemia	\$						
gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD)	\$						
Depression	\$	\$	\$	\$			
Hypertension	\$			\$			
Urinary stress	\$						
Diabetes	\$						
Anxiety		\$	\$	\$			
Endometriosis							
Epilepsy				\$			
Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)				\$			
Osteoporosis				\$\$			

Note: The number of \$ correlates with the cost of the comorbidity relative to each other: light blue and \$=low, medium blue and \$\$=moderate, dark blue and \$\$\$=high.

https://sciencehub.novonordisk.com/ispo 2022/Kim.html?cid=qr-623040724



	\$396 ²	\$676
\$345		

Notes: Direct costs are medical and pharmacy costs attributable to the burden of the disease. Indirect costs are costs not directly associated to treatment but are produced by the disease (e.g., productivity loss).

Table 3: Annual workday loss incremental to the disease, for a mid-sized company

\$924							
\$924		Therapeutic area	Annual additional absenteeism (per person per year)	Prevalence in an average mid-size company (number of employees)	Annual workday loss (days)	Annual productivity due to absenteeism	
		Obesity	3.0 days ¹⁰	175	525	\$129,000	
patient & ER utpatient		Smoking	2.3 days ¹¹	70	161	\$40,000	
		Daytime sleepiness	4.6 days ¹²	115	529	\$130,000	
otal		Migraine	1.7 days ¹³	85	145	\$36,000	
		Fibromyalgia	13 days ¹⁴	20	260	\$93,000	

Fibromyalgia (Lyrica)

Conclusions and Implications

average hourly wage, US Bureau of Labor and Statistics 2



Obesity had the highest economic burden and was associated with greatest number of comorbidities.

- Obesity was associated with the highest number of costly comorbidities and treatment of obesity had the largest medical cost savings.
- AOMs provide high relative value compared to selected analogues.
- Despite this, AOMs are not currently covered by most health plans. AOM coverage and utilization may reduce the economic burden associated with obesity.

References: ¹Cawley J, et al. J Manag Care Spec Pharm. Mar 2021;27(3):354-366. doi:10.18553/jmcp.2021.20410 ²Dee A, et al. BMC Res Notes. 2014;7:242-242. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-7-242 ³Lee LJ, et al. Adv Ther. Feb 2019;36(2):365-380. doi:10.1007/s12325-018-0858-y ⁴Knauert M, et al. World J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2015;1(1):17-27. doi:10.1016/j.wjorl.2015.08.001 ⁵Sassani A, et al. Sleep. May 1 2004;27(3):453-8. doi:10.1093/sleep/27.3.453 ⁶Tepper SJ, et al. The Journal of Headache and Pain. 2021/04/19 2021;22(1):27. doi:10.1186/s10194-021-01238-2 ⁷Yucel A, et al. Am J Manag Care. Dec 1 2020;26(12):e403-e408. doi:10.37765/ajmc.2020.88547 ⁸Frech FQ, et al. Am J Pharm Benefits. 2017;9(6):200-207. ⁹Walitt B, et al. PloS one. 2015;10(9):e0138024-e0138024. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138024 ¹⁰Cawley J, et al. J Occup Environ Med. Jul 1 2021;63(7):565-573. doi:10.1097/jom.0000000000002198 ¹¹Halpern MT, et al. Tob Control. 2001;10(3):233-238. doi:10.1136/tc.10.3.233 ¹²Reynolds AC, et al. Sleep Health. Oct 2017;3(5):357-361. doi:10.1016/j.sleh.2017.06.003 ¹³Michel P, et al. Cephalalgia. Jun 1999;19(5):503-10. doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.1999.019005503.x

¹⁴Chandran A, et al. J Manag Care Pharm. Jul-Aug 2012;18(6):415-26. doi:10.18553/jmcp.2012.18.6.415







