# ONLINE SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

# Partial Adjustment for Treatment Switching to Represent Expected Switching in Clinical Practice

Bell Gorrod, H[1], Mt-Isa, S[2], White, IR[3], Xuan, J[3], Malbecq, W[4], Vandormael, K[5], Yorke-Edwards, V[6], Latimer, NR[1,7]

1 Sheffield Centre for Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield
2 Biostatistics and Research Decision Sciences (BARDS) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Statistics, MSD, Zurich
3 MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, University College London
4 Department of Mathematics, University of Brussels
5 Biostatistics and Research Decision Sciences (BARDS) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Statistics, MSD, Brussels
6 Centre for Advanced Research Computing, University College London
7 Delta Hat Limited, UK

Contents

**Table 1: Approaches to Partial Treatment Switching Adjustment**




| | Scenarios | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Proportion of switchers in RCT (%) | 60 | 50 | 60 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 40 | 50 |
| Proportion of switchers in CP (%) | 20 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 30 |
| Underlying treatment effect (HR) | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Treatment effect of switched to treatment vs no switch (HR) | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Sample size (n) | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |