Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on the Efficacy and Safety of **Drug Prophylaxis of Contacts of Patients With Hansen's Disease** 

# M. SANTOS<sup>1</sup>, K. SENNA<sup>1</sup>, B. BARROS<sup>1</sup>, B.R. TURA<sup>1</sup>, G.L.A.D. OLIVEIRA<sup>2</sup> and M.G.S. COSTA<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Instituto Nacional de Cardiologia, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, <sup>2</sup> National Comission for Incorporation of Technologies in SUS, Brasília, Brazil.



SA116









Hansen's disease is a condition of great global importance, classified as one of the twenty neglected tropical diseases, and strongly associated with poverty and inequity<sup>1</sup>. The objective was to synthesize the evidence available in the literature in a systematic way on prophylactic measures for contacts of confirmed cases of Hansen's disease.

To synthesize the evidence available in the literature in a systematic way, prophylactic for measures on confirmed cases of contacts of Hansen's disease, with efficacy and safety for preventing the disease compared to standard therapy.

A systematic review with meta-analysis was carried out by searching the following electronic databases: Embase, Lilacs, Medline, Cochrane Library, in addition to bibliographic citations of interest. No restrictions were made regarding language or publication period. Inclusion criteria: adults or children of both sexes, contacts of Hansen's disease patients, undergoing chemoprophylaxis. Studies without indexed publications and conference abstracts were excluded. The quality of the studies was assessed by risk of bias using Cochrane tools and certainty in the body of evidence using the GRADE system.

# RESULTS

✓ Four studies were selected for quantitative synthesis, three randomized clinical trials and one cohort study (total n intervention

Fig.1 – Risk of bias in randomized clinical trials (RoB 2.0)

Experimental Comparator Outcome Unique ID Study ID Weight D1a D1b D5 Overall Hanseníase Rifampicina Placebo V1 Wang + + Hanseníase V2 Placebo Feenstra Rifampicina

Figure. 3 – Result of the rifampicin vs. placebo meta-analysis

- = 20,659; total n comparator = 20,092).
- $\checkmark$  The results of the meta-analysis (four studies) were favorable to rifampicin over a two-year period (RR 0.67 95% CI 0.40-1.12), with the studies risk of bias defined as uncertain (due to an open study and another without blinding) and certainty in the body of evidence assessed as moderate.
- $\checkmark$  Rifapentine, evaluated in a single study, presented better results than rifampicin with RR 0.40 (95% CI 0.08 – 2.08) in two years and 0.18 (95% CI 0.04 – 0.80) in four years, and uncertain risk of bias.
- V3 Hanseníase Richardus Ritampicina Placebo D1a Randomisation process Justifications for critical items: D1b Timing of identification or recruitment of participants Wang et al: domain 2.1 and 2 – open D2 Deviations from the intended interventions study. D3 Missing outcome data D4 Measurement of the outcome Richardus et al: Domain 2.2 – the study D5 Selection of the reported result was not blinded.

#### Fig.2 - Risco de viés do estudo de coorte (ROBINS I)





**Risk Ratio** 

95%-CI

RR

Fig. 4 – Result of chemoprophylaxis (rifampicin or rifapentine) vs. placebo meta-analysis

Study	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI
Bakker Feenstra Richardus Wang_1 Wang_2		1.03 0.44 0.91 0.68 0.40	[0.21; 5.05] [0.28; 0.67] [0.62; 1.35] [0.18; 2.54] [0.08; 2.08]
Common effect model		0.66	[0.50; 0.86]
0.1 Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 41\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.2$	0.5 1 2 1113, p = 0.15	10	

## CONCLUSIONS

Rifampicin administered in a single dose as prophylaxis to contacts of Hansen's disease patients,

mainly in endemic areas, demonstrated to be effective and safe with a RR of 67% in two years, and a

statistically significant protective effect maintained in six years, with low risk of bias and certainty in evidence classified as moderate. Rifapentine proved to be a promising prophylactic medication, being evaluated in a single study.

## REFERENCES

1. Organização Mundial da Saúde (OMS). Estratégia Global de Hanseníase 2012-2030 - Rumo à zero hanseníase. 2021. Disponível em https://www.who.int/pt/publications/i/item/9789290228509 . Acesso em: 01 de setembro de 2023.

2. Richardus R, Alam K, Kundu K, Chandra Roy J, Zafar T, Chowdhury AS, et al. Effectiveness of single-dose rifampicin after BCG vaccination to prevent leprosy in close contacts of patients with newly diagnosed leprosy: A cluster randomized controlled trial. Int J Infect Dis. 2019 Nov;88:65-72. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2019.08.035. Epub 2019 Sep 6. PMID: 31499206

3. Feenstra SG, Pahan D, Moet FJ, Oskam L, Richardus JH. Patient-related factors predicting the effectiveness of rifampicin chemoprophylaxis in contacts: 6 year follow up of the COLEP cohort in Bangladesh. Lepr Rev. 2012 Sep;83(3):292-304. PMID: 23356030.

4. Wang L, Wang H, Yan L, Yu M, Yang J, Li J, et al. Single-Dose Rifapentine in Household Contacts of Patients with Leprosy. N Engl J Med. 2023 May 18;388(20):1843-1852. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2205487. PMID: 37195940.

5. Bakker MI, Hatta M, Kwenang A, Van Benthem BH, Van Beers SM, Klatser PR, et al. Prevention of leprosy using rifampicin as chemoprophylaxis. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2005 Apr;72(4):443-8. PMID: 15827283

CONTACT

### INFORMATION

Study

Marisa Santos, PhD E-mail: marisaccih@gmail.com