Modelling Utilities as functions of health states using AI and ML through Genetic Programming: Consequences for the incremental cost effectiveness ratio (ICER) using a non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) data set.

EE586

Regulatory
Scientific and Health
Solutions

Khan I1,2, Crott R2, Martina R2, Begum R2, Khan Y2

1 University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom; ¹ Regulatory Scientific and Health Solutions (R-S-S), Shirley, Solihull, United Kingdom,

INTRODUCTION

We investigated the application of machine learning (ML) methods through symbolic regression (SR) to generate improved model fits that are not humanly possible within the time constrains of submission. We show utilities from ML algorithms can impact significantly the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) and the quality adjusted life year (QALY) estimates.

METHODS

We use data from a previously reported randomized (Erlotinib vs Best Supportive Care (BSC) trial (TOPICAL)¹ in stage IIIb-IV non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients (N=670). Health states were defined as progression free (PF), progressive disease (PD) and Death. EQ-5D-3L utilities were collected at baseline and monthly until disease progression.

Symbolic Regression

Symbolic regression (SR) attempts to fit an equation through a set of observed data points³. In general, equations (model form) need to be identified first, prior to fit. Through SR, we can find the equation(s) that fit the sample data through an optimization criteria (e.g. $R²$, Aikakes information criterion (AIC)). Genetic Programming (GP) is an implementation of evolutionary programming (**Figure 1**), where the problem-solving domain is modelled on a computer and the algorithm attempts to find a solution by the process of simulated evolution, employing the biological theory of genetics and the Darwinian principle of survival of the fittest³. In this sense, GP is an ideal vehicle to implement SR. Data Modeler® using a Mathematica® platform utilizing all mathematical operators except step, hyperbolic and trigonometric functions were used.

Figure 1: Description of GP

RESULTS

Trial Demographics: These have been published elsewhere^{1,2}; In general, N=350 vs 320 Erlotinib vs BSC; median age 77 yrs, 61% male; 35% stage IIIb; ECOG 0-1,2,3: 16%, 41%, 43% respectively.

Table 1: Utilities: Summary Statistics

#Mildly complex to Most complex; models included covariates: ECOG, smoking status, age, gender ;*published in Khan et al, 2015¹

More Complex SR Model (Level 222)

Less Complex SR Model (Level 42)

CONCLUSIONS

- 1,238 models were generated in <3 minutes.
- The 'best' models generated R^2 of around 9%, but with significant complexity (level 222).
- A less complex model (complexity level 42) gave R^2 of 6.5% and AIC of 272.
- SR resulted in lower AIC, higher $R²$ and more accurate mean utility estimates for health states than the usual (linear) modelling approaches often found in HTA submissions.
- The mean utility estimates from AI models can lead to notably different estimates of mean Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALY) and consequent incremental costeffectiveness Ratios (ICERs).

REFERENCES

- 1. Khan et al BMJ Open 2015 Jul 2;5(7)
- 2. SM Lee et al; Lancet Oncol, 2012 Nov;13(11): 1161-70
- 3. Hussein.S; Genetic Programming in Mathematica http://www.husseinsspace.com/research/publications/gpinmath.pdf

