Cost per Responder and Number Needed to Treat of iGlarLixi vs Premix BIAsp 30 in Algerian Setting Malek R¹, Mimouni S², Levy P³, Aissaoui A⁴, Hachelaf Z⁴ ¹Internal Medicine Department, CHU Setif, Setif, Algeria; ²Endocrinology and Metabolic disease Department, EHS CPMC, Algiers, Algeria; ³Université Paris-Dauphine, Université PSL, LEDA, CNRS, [LEGOS], 75016 Paris, France ⁴Sanofi, Algeria

Poster # **EE67 ISPOR 2022** Nov 6–9, 2022, Vienna, Austria

BACKGROUND

 Insulin-based regimens are still the option for people with go-to insufficiently type 2 controlled diabetes (T2DM). mellitus Unfortunately, insulin complex regimens are often associated with side effects, such as hypoglycemia and weight gain, and in some cases may still not provide adequate glycemic control to individuals who further insulin need treatment advancement.

OBJECTIVE

POSTER HIGHLIGHTS Table 1: Percentage of patients achieving treatment targets at week 26 Premix BiASP 30 Study end points* iGlarLixi HbA1c <7 % 42,2% 31,8% HbA1c <7% AND no weight gain 27,5% 12,4% HbA1c <7% AND no weight gain AND no documented 19,4% 7,0% hypoglycemia (PG** < 70mg/dL)

RESULTS (continued)

endpoints, iGlarLixi was associated with a lower cost per responder than BIAsp 30 BID as for HbA1c<7% without weight gain (1988,81 € vs 2811,64€) or HbA1c<7% without weight gain AND without hypoglycemia <70mg/dL (2819,18€ vs 4980,63 €). Number needed to treat patient :

• The NNT analysis showed that for every 6,6 treated with iGlarLixi, there was one additional responder compared to premix BiASP 30 for the composite endpoint of HbA1c <7% AND no weight gain.

A cost per Responder analysis was developed to explore the absolute cost of a responder with Insulin glargine treated /Lixisenatide (iGlarLixi). This analysis evaluated the short-term cost-effectiveness in Algerian setting of iGlarLixi vs premix insulin BIAsp30 (30% rapid-acting insulin aspart + 70% basal insulin) twice-daily (BID) in people with T2DM failing to achieve glycemic target on basal insulin alone.

METHODS

• The short-term cost-effectiveness of iGlarLixi vs BiASP 30 was evaluated in terms of cost per responder and number needed to treat (NNT) in Algerian setting. iGlarLixi once daily was compared to premix BIAsp 30 twice daily. A successfully treated patient was defined by 1 single

HbA1c <7% AND no documented hypoglycemia (PG < 54mg/dL)

 Table 2: Cost per responder resources

*Solimix trail

**PG : Plasma Glucose

39,5%

26,6%

- When the additional endpoint of no hypoglycemia (PG <70mg/dL) is added to the composite endpoint, the NNT increased to 8,1.
- The NNT analysis with the composite endpoint of HbA1c <7% AND no <54mg/dL) hypoglycemia (PG showed that for every 7,8-patient treated, one additional patient achieves the target with iGlarLixi compared to premix BiASP 30.

DISCUSSION

- For cost-effective decision-making, this analysis combined clinical and economic dimensions and compared both intervention.
- Although, iGlarLixi was associated with a higher cost per responder for single component endpoint the HbA1c < 7%, 42,2% of trial population were successfully treated.
- iGlarlixi costs approximately 30% less of premix BiASP 30 to achieve

	Populations	Costs	Insulin dose
Methodology	 T2DM failing to achieve glycemic target on basal insulin alone 	 Treatment costs¹ Glycemic self-monitoring costs¹ Administration costs¹ 	 iGlarLixi = 40UI Premix BiASP 30 = 58 UI
References	 SoliMix study patient populations 	 IMS data 2022¹ 	 SoliMix study average daily dose

Figure 2: Cost per responder results

*Study end points

- endpoint and 3 composite endpoints: -HbA1c < 7% at week 26
- -HbA1c <7 % AND no weight gain at week 26
- -HbA1c < 7% AND no weight gain AND no documented hypoglycemia (plasma glucose <70mg/dL) at week 26
- –HbA1c < 7% AND no documented level 2 hypoglycemia (ADA definition: plasma glucose <54mg/dL) at week 26
- The proportion of patients achieving each target in the full trial population were secondary and composite endpoints of the SOLIMIX study.
- The NNT was calculated to determine the average number of patients to be treated to bring one patient to target for all endpoints







outcome for HbA1c <7% AND no weight gain.

- When no documented hypoglycemia (PG < 70mg/dL) was added to the iGlarLixi costs composite endpoint, 44% less to were approximately achieve this target compared to premix BiASP 30.
- Considering level 2 hypoglycemia (PG < 54mg/dL), cost per responder were very close in the 2 arms.
- Overall NNT outcomes has shown the effectiveness of iGlarLixi vs premix BiASP 30.
- To capture the full benefit of iGlarLixi over long-term projection of clinical outcomes, and cost costa effectiveness analysis is recommended.

CONCLUSIONS

While SoliMix trial the demonstrated the potential clinical benefits of once-daily iGlarLixi compared to twice-daily BIAsp 30 in terms of bringing patients to glycemic target as well as composite endpoints, this cost per responder and NNT analysis helps to show the potential cost benefits of iGlarLixi (especially when considering all treatment effects), further reinforcing effective iGlarLixi as an alternative, in Algeria.

RESULTS

Cost per responder :

 iGlarLixi was associated with a slightly higher cost per responder than BIAsp 30 BID for the single component endpoint of HbA1c<7%: (1296,02€ vs 1096,36€) or for HbA1c AND no level 2 hypoglycemia (1384,61€ vs 1310,69 €). However, when considering other composite

lbA1c <7 %	9,6	6,0 - 24,5
HbA1c <7% AND no weight gain	6,6	4,9 – 10,1
HbA1c <7% AND no weight gain AND no documented hypoglycemia **PG < 70mg/dL)	8,1	6,0 — 12,5
HbA1c <7% AND no documented hypoglycemia (PG < 54mg/dL)	7,8	5,3 – 14,8
*Solimix trail **PG : Plasma Glucose		

NNT

95% CI

REFERENCES

1. McCrimmon et al. Diabetes Obes Metab.2021 **FUNDING**

This study was sponsored by Sanofi.