Medical Marijuana Legalization and Opioid- and Pain- Related **Outcomes among Patients Newly Diagnosed with Cancer and Receiving Anti-cancer Treatment**

Yuhua Bao, PhD,¹ Hao Zhang, PhD,¹ Eduardo Bruera, MD, FAAHPM,² Russell Portenoy, MD,³ William E. Rosa, PhD, MBE, APRN,⁴ M Carrington Reid, MD, PhD,¹ Hefei Wen, PhD⁵

1. Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY; 2. The university of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center; 3. MJHS Institute for Innovation in Palliative Care and Albert Einstein College of Medicine; 4. Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; 5. Harvard Medical School and Harvard Pilgrim Health Care institute



MAIN FINDINGS

Medical Marijuana Legalization implemented during 2012-2017 was associated with a lower rate of opioid dispensing and pain-related hospital events among some adults receiving treatment for newly diagnosed cancer.

Background

- Opioid prescriptions for cancer-related pain have declined rapidly in the U.S.
- Marijuana use among cancer survivors is increasing despite limited evidence on efficacy and safety.
- State marijuana legalization may be associated with such increases.
- We assessed the associations between state medical marijuana legalization (MML) during 2012-7 and opioid- and pain- related outcomes among nonelderly adults newly diagnosed with cancer and receiving anti-cancer treatment.

Data and Population

- 2011-17 Health Care Cost Institute (HCCI) commercial insurance claims data
- Individuals aged 18-64 with a new diagnosis of (female) breast, colorectal, or lung cancer and receiving anti-cancer treatment (cancer resection surgery, radiation, chemotherapy) in the 6 months following cancer diagnosis

Outcome Measures

Broact Cancor	Colorectal Car	hear lun	a Cancor
DIEasi Gangei			

Rate of opioid dispensing associated with MML



Rate of pain-related hospital events associated with MML



MML with dispensary allowances

	No recent opioids	Recent opioids	No recent opioids	Recent opioids	No recent opioids	Recent opioids
	N=33,472	N=4,717	N=11,059	N=1,757	N=5,793	N=1,397
≥1 day of opioid prescriptions	37.7%	89.1%	37.9%	88.3%	32.9%	89.6%
≥1 day of long-acting opioid prescriptions	0.5%	5.6%	2.7%	12.9%	7.0%	30.2%
MMEs if any opioid day, Mean (SD)	558.9 (1622.8)	2211.3 (6809.3)	1414.1 (4255.8)	3611.8 (10195.7)	3475.8 (8422.8)	7870.9 (15415.4)
≥1 pain-related hospital event	5.0%	8.0%	10.8%	12.5%	13.5%	19.4%

MME – Morphine Milligram Equivalents

Analytical Strategies

- Natural experiment design exploiting staggered implementation of MML across states
- Difference-in-Differences
 - Main analysis includes 34 states w/o MML prior to 1/1/2012
 - Secondary analysis • differentiates between MML with and without dispensary allowances



was associated with greater reductions in opioid dispensing



No MML MML without dispensary MML with dispensary

No recent opioids

Discussion

- MML may have led to lower use of opioids by some patients receiving active cancer patients
- Specific mechanisms are unknown and can include both less prescribing and lower demand by patients
- Pain-related hospital events reflect extreme outcomes
- Future studies need to investigate
 - quality of life, functioning, uncontrolled pain, and marijuana-related harm
 - Features of MML (in addition to dispensary allowances) to inform policy

Conclusions

Newly implemented MML between 2012 and 2017 was associated with

Recent opioids

- Sensitivity analysis further restricts to 23 states with an operating Prescription Drug Monitoring Program prior to 1/1/2012
- Logistic (for 0/1 outcomes) and \bullet Generalized Linear (for MMEs) models control for patient age, sex, non-cancer chronic pain conditions, mental health and substance use disorders in the 12 months prior to new cancer diagnosis

- reductions in the rate of opioid dispensing and pain-related hospital events in some adult patients 18-64 receiving anti-cancer treatment
- MML with dispensary allowances was associated with greater reductions in opioid dispensing
- Future studies should elucidate the nature of the associations and their impact on patient outcomes

Acknowledgments and Funding

Drs. Bao and Zhang were supported by a grant from the Arnold Ventures and a grant from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (P30DA040500). Dr. Rosa acknowledges the National Cancer Institute (P30CA008748). Authors report no conflict of interest.

The author(s) acknowledge the assistance of the Health Care Cost Institute and its data contributors—Aetna, Humana, and UnitedHealthcare—in providing the claims data analyzed in this study.