Changing priorities among physician reported reasons for choice of pharmacological Generalized Myasthenia Gravis treatments across 5 European countries

Andras Borsi¹, Riikka Nissinen¹, Çharlotte Gany¹, Wim Noel¹, Jennifer Lee1, James McCallion¹, Qiaoyi Zhang², Alberto E. Batista², Miroslav Vavrinec¹, Jonathan DeCourcy³, Emma Chätterton³, Owen Thomas³, Gregor Gibson³

¹Janssen-Cilag EMEA; ²Janssen Global Services, LLC; ³Adelphi Real World, Bollington,

OBJECTIVI

 To explore physician-reported reasons for choice of treatment among gMG patients across line of therapy and drug type received in five European countries

CONCLUSIONS

- These results highlight that physician priorities for treatment choice change as patients progress through lines of therapy.
- Symptom control was the driver of initial treatment choice and AChEI utilisation. Administration, safety and suitability were drivers amongst later lines of treatment options.
- Across all lines of therapy, administration convenience and safety were reasons to use NS-ISTs whilst patient suitability and other generalised reasons were drivers in the use of biologics.
- Safety and convenience concerns indicate efficacious and safe long-term treatment is required to achieve sustained gMG symptom control.

INTRODUCTION

- Generalized Myasthenia Gravis (gMG) is a chronic, autoantibody condition causing muscle weakness.
- There is no causal cure however a range of pharmacological treatments are currently prescribed, typically acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEls), corticosteroids, and non-steroidal immunosuppressants (NS-ISTs).
- A variety of options and patient characteristics requires physicians to carefully consider treatment.

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

- 144 physicians reported the current and historic reasons for choice of maintenance/chronic treatment for 529 patients with gMG.
- The mean patient age was 54.0 (SD±15.43), 51.0% were female and average time from diagnosis to survey was 4.1 years (SD±5.27, *table 1*).

Table 1. Patient demographics	
gMG patients with current or historic reasons for choice of maintenance/chronic treatment, N	529
Age (years), mean (SD)	54 (15.43)
Gender (female), n (%)	270 (51.0)
BMI, mean (SD)	25 (3.68)
Time since MG diagnosis (years), mean (SD)	4.1 (5.27)

- Reasons for choice of maintenance treatment were grouped into five categories from a preselected list of options, table 2. The list was multiple choice with the physician able to select as many as they deemed relevant.
- Symptom control reasons were most frequently selected at first line (99.6%), Administration at second line (69.7%), figure 1.
- Safety (80.0%), suitability (83.6%) and general (87.3%) were selected most frequently at a third line or later. *figure 2*.

METHODS

- The Adelphi MG Disease Specific ProgrammeTM (DSP) collected point-in-time data from physicians and their patients across France, Germany, Italy, Spain and the UK between March – September 2020.
- The DSP methodology has been previously published¹.

Improve mobility / movement
Convenient administration

Reduce anxiety / depression Patient can self-administer

Reduce respiratory problems Less frequent injections

Reduce swallowing difficulties

Improve evelid function

Improve vision

99,6%

 Physicians reported patient demographics, treatment history and reasons for treatment selection.

Reduce disruption to patient's

Once daily dosage

89,9%

69,7%

Table 2. Physician reported reasons for choice of maintenance treatment grouped in five categories

69,1%

Improve tolerability

Reduced risk of complications

_{80%} 73,8%

^{70%} **72,7%**

Line 1

- A list of 46 reasons for choice was provided for each drug selected at each line of treatment, grouped into five categories of symptom control, administration, safety, suitability and general (figure 1).
- Lines of treatment were defined as starting, stopping or switching any maintenance/chronic treatment

Suitable for vounger patients

Suitable for older patients

Suitable for use in Class I

Suitable for use in Class II

Suitable for use in Class III

Suitable for use in Class IV

Suitable for use across all

79.8%

71,4%

63,9%

Line 2

-Suitability

 Only patients with gMG (defined as MGFA class II-IV) at the time of survey were included.

Slow down disease

To combat a relapse /

Maintain quality of life

Long-term efficacy

Fast onset of action

Good patient compliance

87,3%

33,6%

Line 3 or later

Cost effective treatment

LIMITATIONS

- Patients included in the DSP sample may not be truly representative of the overall population of patients, as patients who consult more frequently are more likely to be included.
- The quality of the data depends on the reporting accuracy of information by physicians and patients which may be subject to recall bias.
- The groupings of reasons for choice have been categorized by the authors of this study



- frequently prescribed (82.0%), followed by corticosteroids (53.3%), NS-ISTs (50.5%), IVIg/SCIg/PLEX (14.7%) and biologics (13.6%), *table 3*.
- By treatment class, symptom control was selected most frequently for AChEIs (97.9%, figure 3).
- Administration (68.9%) and safety (73.4%) were selected most frequently for NS-ISTs, figure 3.
- Suitability (68.1%) and general (88.9%) were selected most frequently for biologics, *figure 3*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the physicians and patients for taking part in this study and providing the information included.

DISCLOSURES

AB, RN, CG, WN, JL, JM, QZ, AEB and MV are employees of Janssen JdC, EC, OT and GG are employees of Adelphi Real World



 Anderson P, Berford M, Harris N, Karavall M, Piercy J. Real-world physician and patient behaviour across countries: Disease-Specific Programmes – a means to understand. Current Medical Research and Opinion. 200 2004;1(2):293-2072.











oster presented at ISPOR EU 2022 Corresponding author email address: aborsi1@ffS.JNJ.COM