Cost-effectiveness analysis of avelumab + best supportive care (BSC) vs BSC alone as a first-line (1L) maintenance treatment for patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma in Taiwan

W.-C. Chang,¹ Y. Xiao,² A. Lin,³* P.-J. Su,¹ C. Goh,³ E. Wu,⁴ K. Liu,⁴ P. Chou,⁵ K. Kuo,⁵ R. Palencia,⁶ J. Chang,⁷ M. Kearney,⁶ V. Kapetanakis,² A. Benedict⁸

¹Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou, Taiwan; ²Evidera, London, UK; ³Merck Ltd., Taipei, Taiwan, an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany; ⁴Pfizer, Taipei, Taiwan; ⁵IQVIA Solutions Taiwan Ltd., Taipei, Taiwan; ⁶the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany; ⁷Pfizer, New York, NY, USA; ⁸Evidera, Budapest, Hungary

*Affiliation at the time the analysis was conducted

SCOPE



This analysis aimed to adapt a global cost-effectiveness model in Taiwan and present the results of the adaptation in terms of the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER), life-years (LYs), and quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) of a partitioned survival economic model for avelumab + BSC vs BSC alone over a 20-year time horizon

CONCLUSIONS



- JAVELIN Bladder 100 demonstrated avelumab + BSC as an effective 1L maintenance treatment after completion of 1L platinumcontaining chemotherapy
- The ICER fell below the threshold of 2 times the gross domestic product (GDP) per capita and demonstrated the cost-effectiveness of avelumab + BSC vs BSC alone in Taiwan
- This was supported by deterministic and probabilistic sensitivity analyses.
- This analysis may be used by national payers when considering reimbursement for avelumab for the treatment of patients with locally advanced/metastatic urothelial carcinoma (LA/mUC) who did not show disease progression with 1L chemotherapy in Taiwan

GET POSTER PDF

Copies of this poster obtained through this hyperlink or quick response (QR) code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from ISPOR Europe and the author of this poster. Correspondence: Patrick Chou, **<u>patrick.chou@iqvia.com</u>**

 Choueiri TK, et al. JPh J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(5):507-12.

 S. Ministry of Health Insurance Administration. Accessed September 2021. https://www.nhi.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.

 S. National Health Insurance Administration. Accessed September 2021. https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration. Accessed September 2021. https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration. Accessed September 2021. https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration. Accessed September 2021. https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration. Accessed September 2021. https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration. Accessed September 2021. https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration.
 Accessed September 2021. https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration.
 Accessed September 2021.
 https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration.
 Accessed September 2021.
 https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration.
 Accessed September 2021.
 https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration.
 Accessed September 2021.
 Health Insurance Administration.
 Accessed September 2021.
 https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration.
 Accessed September 2021.
 https://dep.mohw.gov.tw/dos/cp-5034-57477-113.html.
 S. National Health Insurance Administration.
 Accessed September 2021.
 Health Insurance Administration.
 Accessed September 2021.
 Health Insurance Administration.
 Accessed Septem https://www.nhi.gov.tw/query/
ar a line of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and J. Chang are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and Pizer. The authors are employees of Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and Pizer. The authors are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and J. Chang are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany are employees of Pizer. R. Palencia and M. Kearney are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Dar would like to thank Dr Wen-Cheng Chang, assistant professor at Chang Gung University, Taiwan, for his participation and expertise.



INTRODUCTION

- UC accounts for >90% of bladder cancers in Taiwan¹
- Current standard of care (SOC) in 1L, platinum-containing chemotherapy, is associated with limited duration and impacts quality of life²
- JAVELIN Bladder 100 is the only international, multicenter, phase 3 trial in over 20 years to demonstrate a significant increase in overall survival (OS) in patients with LA/mUC with 1L treatment³
- In patients with LA/mUC without disease progression after 4-6 cycles of 1L platinumcontaining chemotherapy, avelumab + BSC increased the 1-year survival rate by 12.9% (avelumab + BSC, 71.3% [95% CI, 66.0%-76.0%] vs BSC, 58.4% [95% CI, 52.7%-63.7%])³
- Median OS was significantly increased by 7.1 months (avelumab + BSC, 21.4 months [95% CI, 18.9-26.1 months] vs BSC, 14.3 months [95% CI, 12.9-17.9 months]; hazard ratio, 0.69 [95% Cl, 0.56-0.86]; p=0.001)³
- Median progression-free survival (PFS) was significantly increased by 1.7 months (avelumab + BSC, 3.7 months [95% CI, 3.5-5.5 months] vs BSC, 2.0 months [95% CI, 1.9-2.7 months]; hazard ratio, 0.62 [95% CI, 0.52-0.75])³

RESULTS

- In the modeled base case, avelumab + BSC increased survival vs BSC alone by 0.79 LYs (2.93 vs 2.14) and 0.61 QALYs (2.15 vs 1.54) (Table 2)
- The ICER for avelumab + BSC vs BSC alone fell below the threshold of 2 times the gross domestic product
- Results of the scenario analysis indicated that LY and QALY gains were most sensitive to alternative survival extrapolations for both avelumab + BSC and BSC alone
- The exponential distribution was chosen as the best-fitting distribution for time-to-treatment discontinuation (TTD) for avelumab + BSC based on median treatment duration observed in JAVELIN Bladder 100 (Figure 2)
- One-way sensitivity analyses showed that the ICER decreased when the following parameters increased:
- Time on subsequent immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) following progression with BSC and percentage of patients receiving subsequent BSC
- However, the ICER increased when the following parameter increased:
- Median duration of treatment with avelumab (Figure 3)
- The scatterplot with 1,000 repetitions showed the uncertainty surrounding the estimates of expected incremental cost and expected incremental effect (QALYs gained) when comparing avelumab + BSC vs BSC alone (Figure 4A)
- 72% and 92% of the probabilistic sensitivity analyses fell within 2 times and 3 times the acceptable cost-effectiveness threshold, respectively⁷ (Figure 4B)

Figure 1. Partitioned survival model structure Figure 2. TTD projection for avelumab + BSC vs BSC alone



CR, complete response; PFS, progression-free survival; PPS, post-progression survival; 1LM, first-line maintenance; BSC, best supportive care; KM, Kaplan-Meier; TTD, time to treatment **PR**, partial response; **SD**, stable disease. discontinuation

METHODS

- A global partition survival cost-effectiveness model after 1L platinum-containing chemotherapy was adapted to Taiwan from the National Health Insurance Administration (NHIA) perspective. The 3 primary health states are PFS, post-progression survival, and death (Figure 1). A patient in the model was considered to be in 1 of these 3 health states at any time
- Patient-level data on efficacy, safety, utility values, and treatment exposure, including subsequent therapies, were obtained from JAVELIN Bladder 100 to provide parameters for the model³
- Results are presented as total costs, LYs, and QALYs gained. For utilities, a mixed-effects model was generated, and the base-case utility model with 3 covariates (baseline utility, progression status, and proximity to death status) was fitted to the data
- For OS, PFS, and time to treatment discontinuation, log-normal, Weibull, and exponential distributions were used, respectively



Figure 3. One-way sensitivity analyses INMB = (incremental benefit × ICER threshold) - incremental cost. (i.e., 0.6 × 2,533,961-incremental cost).





t-line maintenance: BSC best supportive care: ICER incremental cost-effectiveness ratio: INMB, incremental net monetary benefit: IO, immuno-oncology; NT\$, new Taiwan dollar; Pop2, first-line maintenance population; SOC, standard of care; Tx, treatment.





1LM, first-line maintenance; 2GDP, twice the GDP; 3GDP, 3 times the GDP; BSC, best supportive care; GDP, Gross Domestic Product; NTS, new Taiwan dollar.

- The costs of drug acquisition and adverse events were identified from the NHIA medication online website⁴ and the NHIA annual medication report.⁵ The costs of monitoring and healthcare resource use (HCRU) were identified from Taiwan-specific sources such as the NHIA Medical Service Online⁶
- Estimates of HCRU frequency were initially obtained via literature review and validated by Taiwan oncology experts (Table 1)
- A 20-year time horizon and 1-week cycle length were used, and a 3% discount rate was applied to both costs and outcomes
- A willingness-to-pay threshold of 3 times the per capita GDP was adopted according to the World Health Organization definition
- Sensitivity analyses were performed to characterize uncertainties in the expected outcomes

Cost input, NT\$

1,059

1,192

/ UO

1,286

Avelumab + BSC | BSC alone

220

1,192

708

1,286

9,259

NT\$636,398 NT\$694,484 NT\$752,570 NT\$810,656 NT\$868,742 NT\$926,828

treatment Disease progression

Health states

PFS on/off treatment

PPS on/off treatment

treatment) Notes: Estimates of HCRU were initially obtained via literature review and validated by Taiwan oncology experts

1L, first line; BSC, best supportive care; NT\$, new Taiwan dollar; PFS, progression-free survival; PPS, post-progression survival.

Progressive disease

(in addition to on/off 9,259

Table 1. First-line maintenance setting: HCRU costs per cycle in 2020

HCRU Categories

1L maintenance

Off 1L maintenance

treatment

treatment

(on/off)

On subsequent

Table 2. Effectiveness and cost results

	Avelumab + BSC (1LM)	BSC alone
Total LYs	2.93	2.14
Progression free	1.38	0.69
Post-progression	1.55	1.45
Time on treatment	0.68	0.36
Total QALYs	2.15	1.54
Progression free	1.06	0.53
Post-progression	1.09	1.01
Costs, NT\$		
Drug acquisition cost 1LM	1,400K-1,500K	Not applicable
Drug administration cost 1LM	17K-18K	Not applicable
AE management cost 1LM	3K-4K	0-1K
Disease progression cost (one-off)	1K-2K	1K-2K
Disease monitoring cost	887K-888K	784K-785K
Subsequent treatment cost	69K-70K	862K-863K
Terminal care costs	63K-64K	65K-66K

1LM, first-line maintenance; BSC, best supportive care; K, NT\$1,000; LYs, life-years; NT\$, new Taiwan dollar; QALYs, quality-adjusted life-years.