



Jonathan Karnon

Cost-effectiveness thresholds: explicit or implicit ?

adelaide.edu.au

@jonkarnon

*seek*LIGHT

Outline

- Empirical Australian cost-effectiveness thresholds
 - Supply-side
 - Demand-side
- Use of cost-effectiveness thresholds
 - Explicit or Implicit?

The Australian supply-side threshold

- Mortality-related QALY gains
 - Analysis of geographical differences in expenditure and mortality data
 - Estimate marginal expenditure effect on QAYLL:
 - 1.6% decrease in QAYLL for 1% increase in health expenditure
 - Per capita mortality-related QALY gain in 2011/12 = 0.0013
-

The Australian supply-side threshold

- Mortality-related QALY gains
 - Analysis of geographical differences in expenditure and mortality data
 - Estimate marginal expenditure effect on QAYLL:
 - 1.6% decrease in QAYLL for 1% increase in health expenditure
 - Per capita mortality-related QALY gain in 2011/12 = 0.0013
 - Morbidity-related QALY gains
 - Analysis of longitudinal QoL data (HILDA)
 - Using demographic, social and economic covariates to isolate health expenditure effects
 - Per capita morbidity-related QALY gain in 2011/12 = 0.0066
 - Aggregate per capita QALY gain in 2011/12 = 0.0079
-

Cost-effectiveness thresholds

Supply-side

- Δ per capita health spending / Δ per capita QALYs
- \$220 / 0.0079
- \$28,033 per QALY (95% CI \$20,758 to \$37,667)

Cost-effectiveness thresholds

Supply-side

- Δ per capita health spending / per capita QALY gains
- \$219.9 / 0.0079
- \$28,033 per QALY (95% CI \$20,758 to \$37,667)

Demand-side

- Population-based WTP for a QALY: Aus\$64,000 (Shiroiwa et al, 2010)

A QALY Is a QALY Is a QALY?

Journal of Health Economics 7 (1988) 289-290.

EDITORIAL

A QALY Is a QALY Is a QALY – Or Is It?

Milton C. WEINSTEIN

School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA 02115, USA

- Many factors may influence the value of a QALY
 - “confidence in evidence of effects,
 - comparator (e.g. unmet need),
 - total cost,
 - size of benefit and what it is (e.g. life saving),
 - condition, etc”
 - Limited data on who is forgoing QALYs
 - People waiting for elective surgery? attending emergency departments? with chronic conditions? with risk factors?
- ➔ Subjective adjustment of a benchmark threshold
-

Thresholds in practice in Australia

- Public summary documents present ranges within which accepted ICERs sit
 - e.g. the accepted ICER is a value between \$45,000 and \$75,000 per QALY gained
 - Distribution of accepted ICERs, 2005 to 2009 (Mauskopf et al, 2013):

| | |
|------------------|-------|
| <\$45000: | 71.5% |
| \$45000-\$75000: | 21.5% |
| >\$75000: | 7% |
-

Thresholds in practice

- Public summary documents present ranges within which accepted ICERs sit
 - e.g. the accepted ICER is a value between \$45,000 and \$75,000 per QALY gained
 - Distribution of accepted ICERs, 2005 to 2009 (Mauskopf et al, 2013):

| | |
|------------------|-------|
| <\$45000: | 71.5% |
| \$45000-\$75000: | 21.5% |
| >\$75000: | 7% |
 - PBAC are aware of the supply-side estimate of threshold
 - But they have not commented on its relevance
 - Are they using it?
 - Is the supply-side threshold not sufficiently robust?
 - Do they prefer demand-side thresholds?
-

Implicit thresholds

- In Australia
 - PBAC know what thresholds have been accepted previously
 - Companies know what thresholds have been accepted previously for their drugs
 - Industry requested confidentiality, not the government
 - The public/media do not know what thresholds have been accepted previously
-

Implicit thresholds

- In Australia
 - PBAC know what thresholds have been accepted previously
 - Companies know what thresholds have been accepted previously for their drugs
 - Industry requested confidentiality, not the government
 - The public/media do not know what thresholds have been accepted previously
 - Increased decision-maker flexibility
 - To negotiate with individual companies
 - including non-disclosed pricing agreements
 - No constraints
 - e.g. can move from value- to budget-based pricing, e.g. hepatitis C
-

Explicit thresholds

- Encourages investigation and debate re:threshold
 - More interest in England? e.g. front page of the Guardian
 - NICE responded (“drug companies would not drop prices”)
- Clearer for public to understand
 - How their money is being spent
 - Public more accepting of negative decisions?
- More consistency in decision making?
 - More certainty for companies?
 - Decisions are more contestable

Patients suffer when NHS buys expensive new drugs, says report

The NHS price threshold for drugs that give a year of good-quality life should be lowered to stop local budgets missing out, argue experts at the University of York



Apples & Purple mangosteens & Meat pies

- England
 - NICE make decisions about what local commissioners must fund
 - NICE does not have a budget
- Thailand
 - HITAP make recommendations to independent decision making bodies
 - HITAP does not have a budget
- Australia
 - PBAC works closely with the Department of Health
 - The DoH has a budget



For discussion

- Healthcare payers
 - prefer implicit threshold? Stronger negotiating position
- Industry
 - prefers explicit threshold? Stronger negotiating position
- Academics
 - prefers explicit threshold? As basis for promoting empirical threshold
- The public
 - ? Depends on trust in decision-makers